

Too posh to believe...

I have been trawling the archives recently looking at how News Coverage has handled the UFO subject in recent years. The report below is from 2002 but the writer of this article is still the science correspondent for the BBC.

I there was an award for '**Upper class bigoted arsehole public school opinionated never mind the peasants we here in the south know far more about anything than you idiot pilots, policemen, scientists, doctors, astronauts or anybody else who has ever seen or experienced anything to do with the bloody UFO subject anyway award**' then the writer of this scathing piece rightly deserves such a gong.

Tuesday, 3 December, 2002, 14:32 GMT

'Why I believe UFOs are bunk'



Hey! Can't you focus that camera?

Government papers suggest that details of a "UFO scare" in Suffolk in 1980 were suppressed in order to avoid public panic.

BBC News Online Science Editor Dr David Whitehouse says we should abandon the UFO "myth".

UFOs in the sense of unexplained lights in the sky certainly exist.

But flying saucers, that is, alien spacecraft, never have.

UFODATA:By suggesting this is the writer telling us that Alien Space craft can't exist? Is he telling us that contrary to the majority of scientists, astronomers and physicist who whole heartedly believe that the existence of Alien life is an absolute certainty. I would like to hear the writers views on the earth apparently been round!

It really is about time we put down the whole UFO-spaceship thing as part of the past; part of an old-fashioned view of the mysteries of space.

UFODATA:Must have all the answers then, let's go home.

At best, they were a delusion, wishful thinking by some who didn't want to know better.

At worst they were, in some instances, a con as unscrupulous people exploited others.

UFODATA: Yawwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnn....

We have had UFOs for more than 50 years now. During that time, we are supposed to have had innumerable sightings of alien craft in our atmosphere, numerous encounters with strange beings as well as a great many abductions.

Fuzzy logic

And what have we got to show for it? Remarkably little - a few amazing stories told by those who claim to have been witnesses and abductees, as well as a fuzzy photographs and videos, and of course, lots of fakes.

UFODATA: In short take two of the most remarkable UFO events, Roswell and Rendlesham Forest. In both cases what we have to show is an absolute necessity by the powers that be to keep both incidents from the public eye. Roswell announced their find 'officially' through the approved channels, Walter Haut did not just send a message out off his own back, he was ordered to do so, likewise he was ordered to send out the second 'Whoops we the 509th the only nuclear armed unit in the world who have the finest men and brains in the military working here made a mistake, we thought this weather balloon shit was a structured alien craft like parts of the debris Major Marcell brought back in his truck although we don't have any of that here today because it's gone to er um I can't tell you that because I don't know, message. Thirty or forty years later the military started more denial rubbish when the case was elevated back into the public eye by Stanton T Friedman, another Nuclear Physicist, who got involved with the UFO subject because he could see the evidence pointed to a reality of the subject. Consequently the military changed its story three more times. Now if that's not proof of a cover up what is.

This ramble from me continues because exactly the same scenario is created with The Rendlesham Forest Incident. Total denial back in the early 80's when the story broke, left to idiots who believed that a lighthouse was responsible to explain away what was one of modern sciences chances to really get hold of evidence that an alien vessel of some description actually touched down here on planet earth.

Over the proceeding years we were presented with a couple of documents, not to mention the deputy base commanders OFFICIAL reporting of the incident. Still the powers that be deny, deny, deny. Now some 25 years on there are hundreds of documents on file that mention the incident. But hey nothing happened.

Is it not strange that of all the photos and film shot of UFOs are poor and inadequate. You might have thought that at least one of them would be a close-up and in focus.

UFODATA: Do some research

Indeed, the aliens can be small or tall, the spacecraft saucer or triangular shaped but the one constant thing in all UFO sightings and alien encounters is that nobody has any evidence that can be looked at.

One can talk to researchers, or read their books, and be told that they did have hard evidence, sometimes in the form of alien artefacts.

But enquire a little more, or read on, and one always gets to the part where the evidence vanishes for some reason or another that sometimes involves somebody dressed in black stealing it.



Out there...or perhaps not

Faced with this lack of evidence the alien advocates have to rely on the last resort of a failed argument: conspiracy.

UFODATA: You have read too many American Tabloids my friend...

Only the gullible

There must be something in it, they say, as they unearth another secret memo or report that in fact sheds no new light on the reality of the phenomenon.

The fact that governments got involved is no evidence that there was anything in the UFO phenomenon.

Nor indeed are there many books being published about UFOs.

UFODATA: Try this Mr clever clogs. I did a search at Amazon.com I selected books and entered UFOs in the search window. I was presented with 12,419 choices, so to give Mr Whitehouse credence I then entered Astronomy in the search engine and was presented with 6,200 choices. Who trying to fool who here or better still 'don't bother me with the facts my mind is made up'

Putting to one side that they frequently contradict each other - all the plethora of books show is how gullible people are.

And there we have the reason why the UFO phenomenon lingers on.

So after 50 years we have no evidence to speak of, lots of books and a handful of extremely vocal pundits.

Couldn't someone beam them up?

UFODATA: Candidate for the Rectal Probe I think...

*If debunkers, sceptics and ignoramuses are going to take the P*** out of this subject do us all one big favour. Have a look at the evidence, open your mind and ask yourself why couldn't another race work out the problems that we can't? You might just have accept that there is life elsewhere and it might just be a bit more clever than we are.*